



STRATEGIC LEADERSHIP JOURNAL
Challenges for geopolitics and organisational development

ETHIC CODE

“*STRATEGIC LEADERSHIP JOURNAL. Challenges for geopolitics and organisational development*” (hereafter SLJ) is a *peer-reviewed* journal that is inspired by the code of ethics for publications drawn up by COPE (*Committee on Publication Ethics*).

It therefore takes all necessary decisions against any fraud that may occur during the publication of a work in the journal.

The parties involved - institutional bodies, *referees* and authors - must know and share the following ethical requirements.

Duties of the Institutional Bodies of SLJ

1. It is up to the Management, with the support of the Scientific Committee and the Editorial Board, to make the final choice of the articles to be published in SLJ from among the contributions received by the Editorial Board, based on the results of the *peer-review*.
2. The choice is made exclusively on the basis of scientific and intellectual content and without discrimination on the basis of race, gender, sexual orientation, religion, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political orientation of the authors.
3. The selected articles will be submitted to the Reviewers for evaluation and their acceptance is subject to the execution of any requested modifications and the final opinion of the Management.
4. The Scientific Director and the members of the Scientific Committee and the Editorial Board undertake not to disclose information about the articles proposed by the authors and received by the Editorial Board, as well as the results of refereeing, to third parties outside the SLJ bodies.
5. Communications concerning the elaborated contribution may take place with the author or the evaluators for referencing purposes only.
6. The Scientific Director, members of the Scientific Committee, Editorial Board and evaluators undertake not to use in their own research, without the author's explicit consent, the contents of an article proposed for publication/review.
7. If any of the organs of SLJ detect or receive reports of possible conflicts of interest or plagiarism in a published article, they will promptly notify the Management.
8. SLJ announces in its *colophon* the names of the Editor-in-Chief and the members of the Scientific Committee, Editorial Board and Editorial Staff.

Referee

1. Published articles are subject to evaluation by *referees* according to the so-called '*double-blind*' *peer-review* system (reviewers do not know the authors and authors do not know who the reviewers are).
2. Through the *peer-review* procedure, *referees* assist the SLJ bodies in making decisions on proposed articles and can also suggest amendments to the author to improve their contribution.
3. If the *referees* do not feel adequate for the proposed task or know that they will not be able to read the work in the required timeframe, they are obliged to notify the editors promptly.
4. Each contribution published in SLJ is *refereed*.
5. The *referees* are selected by the Management or the Scientific Committee or the Editorial Board - in consideration of the scientific-disciplinary sector to which the essay to be evaluated relates - from among professors, researchers and scholars, whether tenured or retired, or particularly qualified experts in the individual subjects or disciplines.
6. The judgement of the *referee* is communicated to the author anonymously.
7. The content of the references is confidential, except for any information and communications requested by the competent evaluation bodies of the national university system.
8. The referee should focus on the content of the article, the results achieved, the method followed, and the clarity of the exposition.
9. *Referees* shall inform the editors of any substantial similarities or overlaps of the received text with other works known to them.
10. *The referees* undertake to treat all information or indications obtained during the *peer-review* process as confidential and not to discuss the texts with other persons without the explicit authorisation of the management.
11. *Referee* reviews must be inspired by criteria of objectivity and impartiality, with a view to constructive criticism. The *feedback* they provide should help the authors to improve the quality of the manuscript, without prejudice to the possibility of deeming the article itself unpublishable.
12. In view of the particular prestige or relevance of certain authors, the Editor-in-Chief and the Scientific Director may, after consultation, decide to publish an article without it being refereed. In this case, the article will be edited with the mention '*at the invitation of the Editorial Board*'.

Authors

1. The articles must be the result of original research by the authors. It must be possible to deduce from the articles the method followed and the results achieved.
2. If the article is the result of the contribution of several authors, they must all be acknowledged as co-authors and the article, if published, will bear all the names of the individual authors.
3. Authors must not submit to SLJ articles that are in substance the same as others already published by themselves or others.
4. Authors, when submitting their contributions for publication in SLJ, undertake not to submit them to other journals for publication in Italy or abroad.
5. Authors must cite any source, their own or others', that is automatically relevant to the work. Any kind of data, formulation, figure or idea taken from others must be appropriately cited and can never be passed off as one's own.
6. If authors find an error in a manuscript submitted for evaluation, they must immediately inform the Editorial Office and request corrections or retraction of previous statements.
7. When drafting articles to be proposed for publication, authors must comply with the Editorial Guidelines available at the following link:

<https://www.difesa.it/smd/casd/im/irad/pubblicazioni-irad/slj/index/36038.html>